

Circ.: m. 87,002
S. 98,965

STATINTL

Front Edit Other
Page Page Page

Public Pulse

No Alternative

Editor of the Daily News:

Is Allen Dulles' CIA, preparing the axe for Castro's Cuba as it successfully did for Arbenz's Guatemala in 1954? A few weeks ago in his daily column Drew Pearson reported that Dulles was co-ordinating the activities of five counterrevolutionary forces for the invasion of Cuba. (The U.S. government has so far issued no denial of this charge.)

Dr. Ronald Hilton, director of the Institute of Hispanic-American Studies at Stanford University, states, according to the Nation Magazine, November 14, that he was informed recently in Guatemala: (1) that the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency had acquired a large tract of land at a cost in excess of \$1,000,000 for the training of Cuban counter-revolutionists; (2) that U.S. personnel and equipment were being used at this base; (3) that the source of this information was a well-known Guatemalan journalist, Clement Marroquin Rojas of the newspaper "La Hora"; and (4) that Guatemalan President Miguel Ydigoras Fuentes, on a recent TV program, admitted the existence of this base but refused to disclose its purpose or any other facts about it.

Recently some high U.S. officials have called for severance of diplomatic relations with Cuba and others for the support of Cuban counterrevolutionaries. During the recent presidential campaign Senator Kennedy seemed to give his support to the latter proposal. If the reports of Drew Pearson and Dr. Hilton are correct, and the severance of diplomatic relations and the invasion of Cuba are supported, the U.S. government will be in violation of its solemn obligations under the charters of both the U.N. and the Organization of American States. And if true, these will also reduce to zero minus U.S. prestige upon which Mr. Kennedy based his election hopes.

It is clearly too late for the U.S. military role of "high-class muscle man for his business, for Wall Street and for bankers" ascribed to the U.S. Marine Corps by Mai Gen

Smedley D. Butler, a member of that Corps (Common Sense, November, 1935). It is certainly too late for the U.S. government to overthrow other governments, overtly or clandestinely, with foreign or native troops. It is also too late for the Mafia-like activities of any U.S. government agency, that is if we hope to retain any prestige as a world leader, avoid world war and disaster.

It is time for the Central Intelligence Agency and all other so-called intelligence agencies to limit their activities to the collection of genuine intelligence information and desist from subversive activities of every kind. It has been pretty well established now that Allen Dulles was responsible for the tragic U-2 incident, May 1, 1960, which wrecked the summit conference and brought the world closest to disaster since Hitler was in ascendancy. (No one really believes that President Eisenhower had anything to do with this sinister act.)

It is imperative that the cold war be brought to an end. General and complete disarmament is the minimum requirement for peace. And this can be achieved because both the so-called Communist and capitalist worlds have a common survival interest. Only in a completely disarmed world, that is, down to internal security levels, can adequate inspection be had. Noel Baker, the great British disarmament specialist, makes this brilliantly clear when he states that no government will object to inspection when their opponents cannot take advantage militarily of any weakness developed as a result of these inspections.

The world, in this writer's view, will never be wholly free or wholly slave any more than any individual will be wholly good or wholly evil. There will probably never be a time when any single economic, political, or social system will meet all the

requirements of all peoples and nations at any given moment of historical time. Just as every

fed, taught to crawl and walk, and later to run, play and learn, all nations and peoples have to evolve. All of this is very natural, in fact, inevitable and has nothing to do with ideology.

In this frame of reference, the Churchillian phrase "we are to parley" becomes medieval and anachronistic. Historically, no nation has honestly negotiated when it had a preponderance of military power, and no nation ever had relatively a greater economic and military preponderance of power than the U.S. had from 1945 to 1952. Did the leaders of the U.S. government "parley" during these seven years? No. There was no need then, they thought, because of that very same very power.

Now the leaders of the U.S. cannot "parley", they claim, because they no longer have absolute superiority as formerly. And so the world goes "round and round", but does not "come out" anywhere. The great mathematician, Albert Einstein, said in 1945 that "the splitting of the atom (has) changed every thing and our modes of thinking and thus we drift toward a unparalleled catastrophe."

There really is "no alternative to peace" and we ought definitely to stop talking about war, limited or total or about civil defense, for there is none. Limited war a la Kissinger and civil defense a la Rockefeller are both psychological means of preparing man for incineration while building his homes for survival. Both are a cruel hoax. We either build a co-operative world society, based upon the brotherhood of man or we pull down all the pillars of God in the fires of fear and hate. It is as simple as that.

HUGH B. HESTER
Brigadier General, U.S. Army
(Ret.)
Chapel Hill

COPYRIGHT

COPYRIGHT